

# Religious Education Delivers High Returns on Modest Investment: A Call to Rethink Bursary Allocations

John Howson published <u>an interesting analysis</u> of <u>DfE recruitment data</u> this week. I was struck by the implications of this table (below right):

Many subjects receive government bursaries and scholarships, designed to attract graduates into shortage areas. The **cost per new teacher in a state-funded school** can be assessed by combining the **number trained** and the **conversion rate**.

#### **Example: Comparing Physics and RE**

Both Physics and RE face serious issues in relation to recruitment and retention but far more incentives are offered to Physics graduates to join the teaching profession.

- Physics: Approx. £27,000 bursary/scholarship per trainee
  - 540 trainees → £14.6 million cost
  - 373 state school teachers
     £39,000 per state sector
     teacher
- Religious Education: Approx.
   £10,000 bursary (varies by year)
  - 279 trainees → ~£2.8 million cost
  - 212 state school teachers = £13,200 per state sector teacher

In raw terms, RE offers 3× better value for money compared to Physics, based on bursary cost per state-funded school entrant.

Subject	Postgraduate 2023/24 Number of trainees							
					Total	Achieved QTS	Teaching in a State-Funded School (of those achieved QTS)	Teaching in a State-Funded School (of those achieved QTS)
					Classics	69	67	33
	Physical Education	1,485	1,438	965	67%			
Primary	9,378	6,712	6,271	72%				
Business Studes	227	201	146	73%				
Art & Design	401	382	286	75%				
Computing	413	374	282	75%				
Total	22,760	21,210	15,921	75%				
Modern Foreign Languages	1,024	970	739	76%				
Other	327	310	237	76%				
Drama	246	227	175	77%				
Physics	540	485	373	77%				
Secondary	13,382	12,498	9,650	77%				
Geography	822	771	601	78%				
Chemistry	764	691	544	79%				
Mathematics	1,900	1,782	1,385	79%				
Bialogy	885	618	652	80%				
Music	237	223	179	80%				
Design & Technology	578	540	437	81%				
English	2,210	2,062	1,681	61%				
History	977	919	744	81%				
Religious Education	279	258	212	82%				

## **Implications and Questions**

#### 1. Oversupply Risk:

PE and Classics have **high training numbers** but low state school conversion. This raises **public value-for-money concerns**, especially when students incur debt.



### 2. Undersupply and Need:

Physics, Computing, and MFL receive high bursaries but deliver **relatively few new teachers**.

#### 3. RE's Strong Efficiency:

- RE receives modest incentives, but produces one of the highest state-school teaching conversion rates.
- This suggests potential underfunding of a high-retention subject, contrary to strategic workforce planning.

#### Recommendations

- **RE deserves reconsideration** for higher bursary levels given its:
  - High conversion efficiency
  - Statutory curriculum presence
  - Ongoing specialist teacher shortfall in many areas
- Better alignment needed between:
  - Bursary and scholarship levels
  - Long-term workforce demand
  - Supply pipeline from ITT
- Accountability for training providers and DfE:

Where bursary-funded courses result in low conversion, more scrutiny is needed on admissions vs projected job availability.

### **Summary**

While Physics and other STEM subjects attract the highest government investment in bursaries and scholarships, their **high per capita costs** raise concerns about the efficient distribution of funds to support the provision of teachers across all shortage subjects. In contrast, **Religious Education offers strong value for money**, with an **82% conversion rate**, low dropout rate, and minimal per-teacher cost.

Yet, RE continues to **receive lower bursaries** no subject knowledge enhancement (SKE) grant, and less policy attention despite its strong efficiency. In a context of national teacher shortages and squeezed public finances, this imbalance deserves urgent review.

#### **Deborah Weston OBE**

**Research Officer** 

**National Association of Teachers of Religious Education** 

August 2025